

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/035,066	12/27/2001	Suresh Raghavan	10541-870	10541-870 3261	
29074 7	10/20/2003	EXAM	EXAMINER		
	FER GILSON & LIONE	BINDA, GREG	BINDA, GREGORY JOHN		
P.O. BOX 1039 CHICAGO, II	-	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3679		
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/20/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					/1			
·		Application No		Applicant(s)				
4)	_	10/035,066	<u> </u>	RAGHAVAN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
		Greg Binda		3679	-IN			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHO THE M - Exten after S - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, how by within the statutory mi will apply and will expire to, cause the application	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the to become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely. ne mailing date of this comm (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 s	September 2003						
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	nis action is non-f	īnal.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 16-19 is/are pending in the application	on.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from conside	ration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>16-19</u> is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election require	ement.					
Application Papers								
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)[ 7	he drawing(s) filed on <u>05 September 2003</u> is/a							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				•			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
,—	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.						
	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		5110000440(-)	(1) (0)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
,-	All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.							
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)								
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	4) 5) 6)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-1				

Art Unit: 3679

1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

## Response to Amendment

2. The amendment filed Sep 5, 2003 is objected to under 37 CFR 121(c)(1)(ii) because claims 17 & 19 are identified as "currently amended" but no changes are indicated.

## **Drawings**

3. The proposed drawing correction and/or the proposed substitute sheets of drawings, filed on Sep 5, 2003 have been approved.

# Specification

- 4. The disclosure is objected to because amended para 0006 includes the undefined acronym "NVH".
- 5. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it begins with a phrase that can be implied, "The present invention involves".

## Claim Objections

Art Unit: 3679

6. Claim 19 is objected to because it includes the limitation, "in-oz oz-in" which should be changed to -- oz-in --.

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 102

- 7. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Oros et al, US 4,912,299 (Oros). Fig. 3 shows a driveshaft comprising: a tube 11 having a driveshaft wall (see "wall" in col. 4, line 30) extending to an open end 15, the wall having an inner surface 24 and an outer surface 26; and a yoke 17 welded to the open end 15 of the tube 11, the yoke 17 having a body portion (nominally indicated by numeral 18) and a tube engaging pilot 20 extending from the body portion having a head and an outer wall extending therefrom to the tube engaging pilot 20, the pilot having a contact wall 23 extending from the outer wall defining an outer shoulder 22 to engage the open end 15 and engage the inner surface 24 of the driveshaft wall to press fit (see also "interference fit" in col. 4, line 47) into the tube. In col. 5, lines 7 & 8, Oros discloses that the yoke is welded to the open end of the tube with an aluminum alloy.
- 8. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Zackrisson, US 4,527,978. Figs. 1 & 2 show a driveshaft 10 comprising: a tube 12 having a driveshaft wall (see "wall" in col. 2, line 4) extending to an open end (see "end" col. 2, line 15), the wall having an inner surface and an outer surface; and a yoke 14 welded (see col. 2, line 23) to the open end of the tube 11. Fig. 2 shows the yoke 14 having a body portion and a tube engaging pilot 20

Art Unit: 3679

extending from the body portion having a head and an outer wall extending therefrom to the tube engaging pilot 20, the pilot having a contact wall 22 extending from the outer wall defining an outer shoulder 22 to engage the open end and engage the inner surface of the driveshaft wall to press fit into the tube 12. In col. 2, lines 15-40, Zackrisson discloses that the yoke is welded to the open end of the tube with an aluminum alloy.

9. Claims 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Breese, US 6,015,350.

### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 10. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Oros. Oros shows a driveshaft comprising all the limitations of the claim, but Oros does not expressly disclose the driveshaft configured to have a balance less than 0.2 oz-in unbalance. However, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to design the driveshaft of Oros such that the its balance is less than 0.2 oz-in unbalance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).
- 11. Claim 19 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over any one of the prior art references cited in items 8 & 9 above for the same reason noted in item 10.

Art Unit: 3679

# Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed Sep 5, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- a. Applicant argues that Oros fails to anticipate the claimed invention because Oros "fails to teach a contact wall engaging the inner surface of the drive shaft". However as noted in the rejection above, in Fig. 3 Oros clearly shows the contact wall 23 engaging the inner surface 24 of the drive shaft 11. See also Oros col. 4, lines 44-47.
- b. Applicant argues that Zackrisson "fails to teach a contact wall being radially formed to pres fit into the tube," but in Fig. 2 Zackrisson shows precisely those features.
- c. Applicant argues that Breese '350 fails to "teach an outer shoulder [on a yoke] engaging the open end of the tube," but in Fig. 2 Breese' 350 shows precisely those features.

#### Conclusion

13. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

oţ.

11

Page 6

Application/Control Number: 10/035,066

Art Unit: 3679

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Greg Binda whose telephone number is (703) 305-2869. The examiner can

normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9:30 am to 7:00 pm. The examiner can also

be reached on alternate Fridays. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful,

the examiner's supervisor, Lynne Browne, can be reached on (703) 308-1159. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be

directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-2168.

Greg Binda

4 But

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3679